MOCRAVIMOD AMELIORATES CHRONIC GRAFT-VERSUS-HOST DISEASE AFTER MOUSE ALLOGENEIC HSCT

*Z. Zhang*¹, D. Hashimoto¹, H. Senjo¹, W. Li¹, K. Yoneda¹, R. Kikuchi¹, X. Chen¹, S. Harada¹, Y. Saito¹, T. Miyajima¹, S. Okada¹, Y. Hasegawa¹, H. Ohigashi¹, T. Ara¹, S. Dertschnig², T. Teshima¹

¹Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan, ²Priothera SAS, St. Louis, France

Preferred Presentation Type: Oral

Type: Basic Science

Topic: 11: Graft-versus-host Disease - Preclinical and Animal Models

Title: MOCRAVIMOD AMELIORATES CHRONIC GRAFT-VERSUS-HOST DISEASE AFTER MOUSE ALLOGENEIC HSCT

Background: Sphingosine-1-phosphate receptor (S1PR) modulators are a new drug class that sequesters T cells in the lymph nodes (LNs) by downregulating S1PR1. We have previously shown that short-term administration of the S1PR1-specific modulator mocravimod (KRP203) ameliorated mouse acute graft-versus-host disease (GVHD), while significant graft-versus-leukemia (GVL) effects were maintained even after prolonged administration of mocravimod (Yokoyama, BMT 2020). In the current study, we tested whether long-term administration of mocravimod could ameliorate chronic GVHD, using a mouse model of allogeneic bone marrow transplantation (allo-BMT).

Methods: BALB/c (H-2^d) mice were irradiated (5.5 Gy) and intravenously injected with 8×10^6 bone marrow cells combined with 15×10^6 splenocytes from minor histocompatibility antigen-mismatched allogeneic B10.D2 (H-2^d) donors on day 0. Recipients were orally administered with 3 mg/kg/day mocravimod or diluent from day -1 to day +42 after allo-BMT. To evaluate graft-versus-leukemia (GVL) effects, B6D2F1 recipients were injected with luciferase-expressing P815 leukemia cells on day 0 of allo-BMT.

Results: We found chronic GVHD skin scores were significantly reduced by mocravimod, and lachrymal secretion volume was significantly preserved in mocravimod-treated recipients (Figure A, B). Pathological skin chronic GVHD scores and the fibrotic area in the liver and salivary glands were significantly decreased in mocravimod-treated recipients compared to vehicle-treated controls. Flowcytometric analysis on day +42 demonstrated that prolonged administration of mocravimod significantly reduced both CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ donor T cells in the mesenteric LNs, suggesting that mocravimod induced activation-induced cell death of donor T cells as expected (Hashimoto Eur J Haematol 2007, Yokoyama BMT 2019). Importantly, mocravimod significantly reduced donor CD4⁺ T cells while sparing CD8⁺ T cells in other organs, such as spleen, bone marrow, and liver. Mocravimod significantly increased absolute numbers of CD62L⁻PD-1⁺TOX⁺ exhausted T cells in the mesenteric LNs, while it did not affect the numbers of exhausted T cells in other organs, further confirming that mocravimod strengthens donor T-cell activation specifically in the LNs. It was expected that CD8⁺ T cells persisting after mocravimod-treatment could contribute to GVL. Thus, we evaluated GVL effects using another mouse GVHD model in which B6D2F1 recipients were transplanted from C57BL/c donors and injected with recipient-type luciferase-expressing leukemia. Leukemia-injected recipients were treated with mocravimod (day 0-42) alone or in combination with short-term (day 0-14) or long-term (day 0-42) cyclosporine (CSP). In vivo bioluminescence imaging demonstrated that leukemia was rejected in the recipients treated with vehicle or mocravimod alone. In sharp contrast, CSP significantly increased leukemia expansion, while CSP cessation on day +14 blunted leukemia growth, suggesting that mocravimod spared potent GVL effects after allo-BMT (Figure C).



Conclusions: Mocravimod primarily reduced CD4⁺ donor T-cell expansion while sparing CD8⁺ T cells after allo-BMT, which ameliorated chronic GVHD and contributed to persisted GVL effects after mocravimod-treatment.

Disclosure: D.H. and T.T. received research fund from Priothera.

D.H., H.O., T.A. and T.T were supported by JSPS Kakenhi.

Z.Z. and L.W. were supported by Hokkaido University DX Doctoral Fellowship.

S.D. is an employee of Priothera.

1. I confirm that the abstract and that all information is correct: Yes

- 2. I confirm that submission of the abstract constitutes consent to publication: Yes
- 3. I confirm that I submit this abstract on behalf of all authors. Yes
- 4. I confirm that all contributors have provided original material: Yes
- 5. I understand that the Annual Meeting and the publisher reserve the right to decline publication for any reason: Yes
- 6. Has this abstract been previously published online or in other media (journals, other meetings)? No